Comment Set D.66: Leon and Mary Thompson

1	TUTLE	Col	
1		2	
1.30			
FA	200		
1	OF C	1110	

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission

D	EC	3	I	V 至 2006	
M	SEP	1	8	2006	

AG 2EF 1 8 2006 DD =	
Date: 9-15-06 BY:	
Name*: Lean Thompson / Mary Thompson	
Affiliation (if any):*	
Address: * 40047 8713 5/. West	
City, State, Zip Code: * Leona Valley, Ox. 93551	
Telephone Number:* # 4661-2700-1387	
Email:*	
I am against the Antelope Pardee 500 KV	
fromsmisson lines Project going through	
Leons Volley for a number of ressons.	
but the main reason is it is a Known	
fact that Cancer vates ingrease Approx.	I
50% Close to these lines. Especially Chiller	N
Also lasing a lot of homes, There is to	
much undeveloped and around here to	
Come through this arez.	
Lean to Skoupson	
*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.	
y was prime to a remove and to the continue of	

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

D.66-1

Response to Comment Set D.66: Leon and Mary Thompson

D.66-1 Thank you for your opinion regarding Alternative 5. See General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns. As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the alternative alignment would be constructed across 103 privately owned parcels. The majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. However, given that SCE has not conducted construction or final alignment and design studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes may occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant and unavoidable.